

#### IN THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Applicant: John Richard Rosenfeld, et al.

Serial Number: 09/724,124 : Group Art Unit: 3625

Filed: November 28, 2000 : Examiner: Smith, Jeffrey A.

For: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR

**AVIATION PARTS, INFORMATION** 

AND SERVICES

# CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Express Mail mailing label number: EV 504787961 US

Date of Mailing: February 23, 2005

I certify that the documents listed below:

- Certificate of Express Mailing (1 pg.)
- Issue Fee Transmittal (1 pg., in duplicate)
- Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance (2 pgs.)
- Formal Drawing Transmittal (1 pg.)
- Four (4) pages of FORMAL drawings
- Return Post Card

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Robert B. Reeser, III

Reg. No. 45,548

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070



or:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Rosenfeld et al.

Art Unit: 3625

Serial No.: 09/724,124

Examiner: Thompson Jr., Forest

Filed: November 28, 2000

METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR AVIATION PARTS, INFORMATION, AND SERVICES

#### COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following comments are in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability dated January 19, 2005.

Applicants believe that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in this case is improper as it merely copies each limitation of the independent claim into the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Also, reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims (see 37 CFR §1.104(e)). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole

does make the reasons for allowance clear and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance and do not necessarily agree with the Examiner's interpretation of the teachings of the cited art.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert B. Reeser I


Registration No. 45,548

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070



FEB 2 3 2005

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: John Richard Rosenfeld, et al.

Serial No.: 09/724,124 : Group Art Unit: 3625

Filed: November 28, 2000 : Examiner: Forest Thompson, Jr.

For: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR AVIATION

PARTS, INFORMATION AND SERVICES

#### SUBMISSION OF FORMAL DRAWINGS

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed please find four sheets of formal drawings for the above-identified patent application. No new matter has been added.

Respectfully submitted

Robert B. Regser III

Reg. No. 45,548

Armstrong Teasdale LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, MO 63012 (314) 621-5070